Quirin Schiermeier travels to Sri Lanka with a team of scientists in the wake of last month’s tsunami. Together with locals they search through the damage for clues of where the wave hit hardest.

Cornell University in Ithaca, New York, is one such person. In the aftermath of the disaster, he could be found hastily organizing an expedition to Sri Lanka. He and his team wanted to measure the traces that the flood had left on the soil, vegetation and structures in the ‘inundation zone’.

I joined the ten-strong group of coastal engineers, geologists and fluid mechanics from the United States, New Zealand and Sri Lanka as they set out to collect data to determine the height, velocity and extent of the flood at different stretches of the coast. With additional, more detailed information about the local topography and the near-shore ocean depths, they hope to improve numerical tsunami models, and to produce physical maps that local planners can use when rebuilding settlements, hotels and infrastructure. Those same maps could also be used to plan better evacuation routes, and to save lives.

The 26 December tsunami was the first to strike Sri Lanka in living memory. Across the Indian Ocean, tsunamis are rare but not unknown. In June 1994, a tsunami killed...
some 200 coastal residents in east Java, after an earthquake occurred off the coast. Waves were reported to have been as high as 15 metres. No one knows for sure, but it has been estimated that large tsunamis may hit this part of the world once every 1,000 years.

The recent tsunami was one of the world’s worst natural disasters in decades. About 33,000 people, almost half of whom were children, died on Sri Lanka’s east and south coasts alone. The death toll around the entire Indian Ocean region exceeds 220,000, and numbers are still rising. Although other earthquakes, such as that in Tangshan, China, in 1976, have taken hundreds of thousands of lives, no single incident has had such a devastating effect on so many countries for a long time — and certainly not with the overriding feeling that something could have been done to save many of the victims. Early warning systems against tsunamis do exist, but at present only for the Pacific region, where the waves occur much more frequently (see page 343). The information about this wave took hours to reach the people who could have acted (see Timeline, below).

Tough challenge
Researchers such as Liu know that their efforts should ultimately help improve the warning systems. But collecting the necessary information is no easy task. Liu’s expedition on 10–15 January proved to be a first-rate logistical challenge. Plans and schedules had to be changed on a daily basis, as roads proved impassable, bridges were destroyed and hotels closed. But thanks to the team’s improvisational skills and much support from the Sri Lankan military, who acted as hosts, it did provide a wealth of data.

Once in Sri Lanka, Liu’s team split in two — with journalists in tow. One group, including Liu and myself, set off on 10 January from Colombo to Trincomalee, a small town on the east coast. From there, we headed south, visiting affected coastlines down to Amparai, a former stronghold of the Tamil rebels. A second team, led by Costas Synolakis, a civil engineer and tsunami expert at the University of Southern California in Los Angeles, surveyed the better-developed southeast coast of the island.

The destruction became increasingly severe the farther south we travelled, often with seemingly random differences in effects from one beach to another. The 50,000-strong town of Trincomalee suffered comparatively modest damage, but the small communities on the neighbouring Kodiyyar Bay were hit hard. South of Batticaloa things deteriorated rapidly, until finally we came to the shocking sight of Kalmunai. Here, the clean-up had just begun, and the smell of decay still lingered in the air — when we arrived some local volunteers had just buried a human head on the beach.

“It is a conflicting experience for me to go to the field amid all this devastation,” admits Bruce Jaffe, a geological oceanographer with the Pacific Science Center in Santa Cruz, California. “In a way it makes you feel guilty. But then these data are really invaluable for better assessing the hazard and frequency of tsunamis.”

On each beach, Jaffe scanned the ground, the rooftops and even empty swimming pools for sediment deposits left behind by the wave. He also recorded signs of the erosion that the rushing water seemed to have caused hundreds of metres inland. He took sand samples from different sediment layers and packed them in hundreds of carefully labelled plastic bags for analysis back in the lab. From the thickness of the deposits and the distribution of grain size in the tsunami-generated layers, he will later use computer models to back-calculate the number of incoming waves, the flow velocities and water heights at every probed location.

Sifting the clues
"Post-tsunami surveys help us define what kind of resolution we need in our simulations," says Synolakis, who has taken part in 12 such field trips since 1992. As well as Jaffe’s approach of looking at grain size, others hope to use different measures to test and calibrate their models. Physicists such as Liu tend to use evidence of high-water marks to back-calculate wave heights and speeds. He and his colleagues used visual clues to determine the direction of the incoming flow on Sri Lankan beaches. Local children, who crowded round us and tried to steal the tools from our hands, helped to seek out buildings with clear water lines and posed for pictures. Despite the tragedy of last month, they were intensely curious and keen to help.

Between the daily forays onto the beaches, the researchers spent hours in the car, with laptops bouncing on their knees, debating the specifics of this wave. Tsunami research is done by a small community: no more than 200 scientists worldwide specialize in the field, says Liu. And many of them are now scouring the beaches of Sri Lanka, Indonesia and the other countries hit by the wave. Late into the night, members of our
team animatedly discussed what the wave looked like at birth, and how it propagated across the Indian Ocean. The mechanism by which tsunamis form is relatively simple (see Graphic, right). And the equations that describe their rough characteristics, such as speed and wave height, in deep water are similarly straightforward. But there are complexities that are far more difficult to deal with. December’s tsunami was caused when an underwater fault line ruptured across hundreds of kilometres — and no one is sure what a wave formed in this way actually looks like.

Rapid rise
Most of the experts agree that a tsunami is initially shaped like an ‘N’, with a large crest and trough (see ‘During the quake’, right), before evolving into more complicated shapes. If the trough of the wave travels ahead, as it seems to have done towards Indonesia, the ocean recedes from the shore line before the wave crest arrives. This phenomenon led many eyewitnesses of the tsunami to wander down to the beach out of curiosity — leaving them little or no time to escape the full force of the incoming wave.

After birth, a tsunami propagates in deep water with stunning speed but with a low wave height. In this case, the wave moved at about 800 kilometres per hour. Models put the maximum wave height to the east of Sri Lanka at more than a metre (see Map, previous page), whereas satellites measured up to 80 centimetres. Aboard a ship, such waves would be hardly perceptible. The small size also means it is extremely difficult to detect tsunamis from the air or with buoys that measure variations in water pressure. Filtering out the loud ‘noise’ from normal wind-generated waves on top of a long tsunami hump requires sophisticated equations. It took hours to process and analyse the data gathered by satellite for the December wave. Such systems are not designed for, nor suitable for, warnings — by the time the results were ready the tsunami had already struck.

Once tsunami waves reach shallow water, they transform dramatically. Because the speed of a tsunami is a function of the water depth, it slows as it nears the shore. But because its energy remains almost constant, the height of the wave grows tremendously in shallow water. On our trip, we saw one 5-metre-high building that had been completely submerged. Reefs, bays and undersea features such as canyons all play a role in modifying the wave, buffering or focusing its energy, or changing its direction. Reflected waves interact with each other. And the steeper the sub-sea slope, the more quickly the wave grows and the more energy it retains when it hits land.

This aspect of a tsunami’s journey is incredibly difficult to model. “Things start to get really complex once the waves hit the shore and continue to flow on land,” says Liu. Topography, land material, friction and numerous small-scale features from trees to houses come into play — creating a modelling nightmare.

Attempts to describe the breaking, turbulence and debris pick-up of a tsunami on land are still very crude and need a lot of development, says Patrick Lynett, a coastal modeller at Texas A&M University in College Station.
who has modelled the 1998 Papua New Guinea tsunami. "Comparison with the real thing is of paramount importance," he says.

A tsunami's final surge onto land rarely resembles the towering waves often drawn by artists. The waves rarely 'break' the way that surfing waves do. Rather the water simply rushes inland, often preceded by a 'bore' — a mass of extremely turbulent white water. What such a bore looks like exactly was also a matter for midnight debates on this trip — not one of the tsunami researchers has actually seen an incoming tsunami.

Instead, fluid dynamicists study the propagation and transformation of waves under simplified laboratory conditions, using large water tanks equipped with wave-making mechanisms and advanced optical-measurement technology.

**A rush and a push**

The resulting mathematical equations are then marshalled to create computer-generated tsunamis, using software such as the Tsunami-N2. This standard model was designed in the early 1990s by Nobuo Shuto, a modelling expert at Tohoku University’s Disaster Control Research Center in Sendai, Japan, to calculate wave physics up to a shoreline. With a similar model — the Cornell Multigrid Coupled Tsunami model — Liu has simulated the propagation and wave evolution of the Indian Ocean tsunami.

But these models have limitations. They are based on an idealized ocean floor, and on untested assumptions made about the seismic generation of tsunamis in the source region. Such lab waves are not made by anything approaching a rupturing fault. And there are issues about how water behaves on different scales that cannot be resolved in a lab model.

Real-life tsunamis consistently catch these models out. A wave that hit the Indonesian island of Flores in 1992, for example, defied standard models. To explain its behaviour, Liu had to create a more detailed simulation, which showed that the tsunami could bend around corners and penetrate into sheltered coastal areas without losing its energy.

The accuracy of models has improved considerably during the past decade, says Synolakis. "At the time of the 1992 Nicaragua tsunami, models underestimated the observed flooding by a factor of ten," he says. "Since then, the difference between models and measurements has diminished to around a factor of two."

The information can't come soon enough: at many places reconstruction has already begun, but is often substandard. Many builders are using bad, crumbling concrete and little steel to reinforce the structures — much like most of the buildings that were destroyed by the wave. "Some hastily rebuilt constructions look like they may collapse without a tsunami," says Synolakis.

The geological work being done by Jaffe's team will also help planners to assess the risk of future events. By digging deeper into coastlines or marshes, where sediments have been preserved for thousands of years, Jaffe hopes to show how often large tsunamis have occurred in this region — a method that has previously worked for Papua New Guinea and the west coast of the United States.

A mythological account in the *Mahavansa*, Sri Lanka's national Buddhist chronicle, suggests that a tsunami may have hit the island around 150 BC. This book reports that "the sea flooded the land, as a punishment by God," says Stari Fernando, a geologist at Sri Lanka’s Geological Survey and Mines Bureau in Colombo. Using Jaffe's methods, Fernando is hoping to find geological evidence for this religious tale.

Such work may reaffirm that tsunamis in this area are extremely rare. But the lessons learned about modelling by Liu and his team will have far wider implications. They now have reams of valuable data that will improve models of how tsunamis behave near shore and on land. And next time, they hope, all this information will help to curtail the extent of any disaster.

**For more on the tsunami visit:**
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